

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,264	01/30/2004	Mark J. Beitz	KCC 4908.4 (K-C 16,733.2)	5948
321 75	590 10/04/2005		EXAM	INER
	OWERS LEAVITT	TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ		
16TH FLOOR	POLITAN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, M	O 63102		1771	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'	U	~ a.	\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/768,264	BEITZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	iss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuary 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alactica requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. .		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	52)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 22205 81905.	6) Other:	alon reprioduoi (i 10°)	·-/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/768,264

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 6-7, 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 6, 11-16 recites the limitation "trailing end", "leading end" and "said ends". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims. Claims 7 and 17 are rejected as being dependent on these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'CONNOR (WO 99/59907) in view of LAM et al. (WO 02/102665 A1).

O'CONNOR discloses a strip used for an absorbent product that is made continuous by splices with are stitched across butting ends (19, 20) of the strip. In this way the spliced portions (A) of the strip can be used in the products without compromising the absorbency at the splices. (Abstract, Figures 1, 2 and 3, and also refer to page 11, fourth paragraph) The reference teaches that the strip is a nonwoven material or an air laid cellulosic material. (Page 4, first paragraph) The reference teaches assembling the strip into a product for use in moisture absorption such as

for feminine hygiene products or diapers in which it is covered by at least one layer, preferably including one or more top and bottom layers so that the strip is not visible by the end user. (Page 16, second paragraph). The Examiner equates such layer to the claimed body side liner. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

However, it does not disclose the claimed splice structure in the article.

LAM et al. discloses the use of the instantly claimed splice structure in absorbent articles and the fact that splices may occur in finished articles, the reference also discloses that the splice structure provides with fluid movement into and longitudinally along the material from one strip portion to the next during end use of the strip in a finished absorbent product; see page 16, line 9 through page 17, line 26.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the splice structure of the LAM et al. in the article of the O'CONNOR to provide an acceptable splice in a finished product that in this case will be an alternative splice structure that does not require stitching.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,264 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1771

September 27, 2005